



**CONSUMER GRIEVANCES REDRESSAL FORUM, BHUJ**

**PASCHIM GUJARAT VIJ COMPANY LIMITED**  
**Power House Compound, hospital Road, Bhuj – Kutch**  
**Phone No.(02832) 255377 Fax:(02832) 250048**  
E-Mail- [forumbhuj.pgvl@gebmail.com](mailto:forumbhuj.pgvl@gebmail.com)



No. KC/CGRF-BHUJ/20/ NO = 9 1

DT:

By R.P.A.D.:

03 SEP 2020

M/s Surya Roshni Limited,  
Survery No.-188, Village-Bhuvad,  
Anjar-Munder Highway,  
Taluka-Anjar, Dist-Kutch-370130.

Sub:Your grievances regarding extension in time limit for erection of line and Minimum Bills.

- Ref.:- 1. Your CGRF Application Dtd-03.03.2020.  
2. Your Application Our Inward No-1947 Dtd-06.03.2020.  
3. CGRF Hearing Dtd. 27.08.20.

Respected Sir,

We are in receipt of your application narrating your grievances regarding extension in time limit for erection of line and Minimum bills arised due to your demand for enhancement of Contract Demand from 4000 KVA to 7000 KVA on your H.T. Connection Consumer no.31537!at Village : Bhuvan under Anjar Division of PGVCL.

In this regards a hearing was arranged on Dtd 27/8/2020 at Conference room PGVCL - Bhuj. Your representatives **Shri Shankar K Jha** and **Shri Mayur Joshi** were present during the hearing. During discussion of the grievances it was brought to the knowledge of the Forum by your representatives that you had approached Hon'ble Gujarat High Court for these grievances and as per the order of Hon'ble High Court you were directed to approach GERC for redressal of the grievances. Accordingly you have filed a petition before GERC and hearing was held, but decision is pending .

In your application to this Forum you have not mentioned this events and details. As per the regulations of GERC (- Notification no . 2 of 2019 ) for Consumer Grivances Redressal Forum and Ombudsman condition no 2.33 " A complaintant shall not be entitled to approach the Forum 1) In case where proceedings in respect of the same matter between the same complaintant and the License are pendig before any court, tribunal, arbitrator, or any other authority or a decree or a final order has been already

passed by any such court, tribunal, arbitrator, or authority. Provided that no complaint/ Grievances shall be rejected unless complainant has been given an opportunity of being heard. "Considering all above details and as per the conditions of the Notification this Forum can not proceed to resolve your grievances. You have been given a hearing on your complainant. Now this Forum has no jurisdiction to proceed on your grievances. Your representatives were also informed about the same during hearing.

Your complaint to this Forum stands disposed of.

With regards,

Yours faithfully,



Convener

CGRF, PGVCL, Bhuj- Froum

o/l

Copy to:-

1. Chief Engineer,PGVCL,Corporate Office,Rajkot..... For your kind information.
2. Superintending Engineer, PGVCL, Circle Office, Anjar ..... For your kind information.
3. Executive Egnineer,PGVCL,Division Office,Anjar..... For your kind information.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**  
**R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16029 of 2019**

=====

**SURYA ROSHNI LIMITED**

Versus

**GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

=====

Appearance:

RAVI B SHAH(5346) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1  
 for the Respondent(s) No. 1, 2, 3

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.Y. KOGJE**

**Date : 07/01/2020**

**ORAL ORDER**

1. This petition is filed against the communication dated 27<sup>th</sup> February, 2019 wherein the petitioner has been relegated to seek remedy from CGRF of PGVCL.

**सत्यमेव जयते**

2. Learned Advocate for the petitioner draws attention of this Court to the order of GERC in Petition No 1206 of 2012 in case of M/s. K.P. Energy Pvt. Ltd, v/s. GETCO to submit that in the ~~identical facts situated~~, GERC has exercised jurisdiction for extending period for installation of 7000 KVA power in the petitioner's company. Under instruction, the petitioner submitted that petitioner does not agitate at this stage, dispute regarding generating of minimum bill till installation and the claim in set of all the previous bills made by the petitioner for the minimum bills generated from

August, 2018 till date in the forthcoming bills.

3. In view of the aforesaid statement, it would be appropriate to relegate the petitioner back to GERC with regard to issue of extension of time for installation of 7000 KVA power, which *prima facie* appears to be within jurisdiction of GERC, considering the decision of GERC in case of M/s. K.P. Energy Pvt. Ltd. v/s. GETCO in Petition No. 1206 of 2012.

4. In view of the aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

PARESH SOMPURA

(A.Y. KOGJE, J)

सत्यमेव जयते  
THE HIGH COURT  
OF GUJARAT

E-MAIL COPY